

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

een

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,393	02/27/2004	Shuji Yamashita	15115/106001	4915
22511 OSHA LIANG	7590 08/22/2007 L.L.P.	,	EXAM	INER
1221 MCKINNEY STREET			LABBEES, EDNY	
SUITE 2800 HOUSTON, T	X 77010		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/789,393	YAMASHITA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Edny Labbees	2612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ju	ine 2007.	. •			
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1,3 and 4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1,3 and 4 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have beer I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage			
Attachesentia					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Status Of Claims

1. In the response filed 6/6/2007, no claims have been canceled and no new claims have been added. Therefore, claims 1, 3 and 4 are currently pending in the application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hara.

Regarding Claim 1, Hara disclosed Radio System that has the following claimed limitations:

Claimed mobile unit carried by a driver is met by portable device (10) (See Fig. 1B, abstract); claimed vehicle unit mounted on a vehicle is met by stationary device (20) mounted on the motor vehicle (1) (See paras [0056]); claimed vehicle unit comprising a plurality of transmission antennas is met by a plurality of stationary-device side antennae located at different positions respectively (See paras [0015]); claimed mobile unit sequentially receives signals transmitted from at least one of the transmission

antennas to measure the reception intensities is met by the portable device (10) finding signals respectively containing different antenna identification codes that concurrently or sequentially emitted from either of antennae (24 & 25) and where the portable device (10) has a reception intensity measuring means (see paras [0059 0062 0072 007]). Hara does not specifically state that the mobile unit transmits the information on those reception intensities all at once. Hara discloses that the portable device sends second signals representative of the reception intensity data of the first signals received from the antennas (24 & 25) of the stationary device back to the stationary device. Hara does not specifically disclose whether the signals were sent sequentially or concurrently from the portable device. However, Hara do disclose that signals from the antennas (24 & 25) of the stationary device (20) can be emitted sequentially or concurrently (see paras [0072]). As long as the system disclosed by the Hara performs its desired functionality of the having the stationary device determining the current position of the portable device using reception intensity data, one ordinary skilled artisan would readily recognize that, via routine experimentation, that the signals from the portable device (10) can also be emitted sequentially or concurrently. Furthermore, claimed vehicle unit executing, an arbitrary processing action according to the location of the mobile unit is met by the stationary device (20) executing a control process for realizing a predetermined operation of an object to be controlled (see paras [0031]). In addition, Hara discloses a system where in the door lock control mode, a predetermined electric power to be a wake-up signal is transmitted from the stationary device (20). When the portable device (10) is located within the remote-control communication range and it

receives the wake-up signal, the mode of the control circuit of the portable device (10) shifts from a sloop mode to a normal mode. A request signal that is subsequently transmitted from the stationary device (20) is received by the portable device (10). In turn, a step S2 is executed in which in response to the request signal, the portable device (10) processes under control of its control circuit and sends an answer signal containing a door lock control authentication code (locking/unlocking ID (See paras [0083])). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to readily recognize that the locking/unlocking ID code is intrinsic to the portable device (10) since the portable device sends the unique code to perform the function of locking/unlocking the door.

Regarding Claim 3, claimed arbitrary processing action is an operation to locking of a door is met by the system of Hara where the controlled object includes a lock device for locking and unlocking the vehicle door and/or other devices (see paras [0032]). In addition, the locking/unlocking of the door is performed when the portable device (10) approaches either the driver seat side (Pt{D}) or the assistant driver's seat side (Pt{A}) (see Fig. 2 and paras [0074]).

Regarding Claim 4, claimed signals other than that transmitted at first are only used for the measurement of the reception intensities of said mobile unit is met by the portable device finding answer signal representative of the reception signal to the stationary device (20). The signals transmitted at first are the wake-up signal and not the ones used to measure the reception intensities (see Fig. 1B). In addition, Hara discloses a system where the cabin antennae (24 and 25) are used for the wake-up

Application/Control Number: 10/789,393 Page 5

Art Unit: 2612

signal transmission (power transmission) and the normal signal transmission/reception (wireless communication by using the communication frequency already referred to). If required, an antenna exclusively used for the normal signal transmission/reception and another antenna exclusively used for the power transmission may be used separately (see paras [0066]). One of ordinary skill in the art would readily recognize to use different antennas to perform the function transmitting the wake signal and the function of measuring the reception intensities.

## Response to Arguments

- 4. In the response filed 6/6/2007, applicant presents the following arguments:
- In regards to the amended claims 1 and 4, applicant argues that Hara fails to disclose, teach or suggest the limitation a response signal including an ID portion for storing intrinsic identification information of the mobile unit..." In addition applicant argues that the claimed invention requires that the mobile unit transmit reception intensity information all at once and not transmitting multiple signals concurrently as taught by Hara. Also applicant argues that the Hara neither shows, nor suggests, transmitting signals all at once at alternate frequencies.

#### 5. RESPONSE

Application/Control Number: 10/789,393

Art Unit: 2612

stands.

In regards to the arguments corresponding to the amended claims 1 and 4, With regards to the newly added limitation; see rejection to claim 1 above. Furthermore, broadly interpreted concurrently means operating or occurring at the same time and is reasonably to interpreted as transmitting the signals all at once. In addition, applicant does not claim transmitting the signals at alternate frequencies. Therefore, the argument to claims 1, 3 and 4 are not persuasive and the rejection to claims 1, 3 and 4

Page 6

## Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Juzswik, System And Method For Automatic Function... (US 6,801,134)

Stippler, AntiTheft Device For A Motor Vehicle And Method... (US 6,218,932)

Zintler, Locking Device For A Motor Vehicle Having A Number...(US 6,563,416)

Chandebois, Method And Device For Automatically Locking... (US 6,853,296)

Amano, Keyless Entry System, (US 5,835,022)

Okada, Vehicle Automatic Door-Locking System Using... (US 6,476,517)

Kumano, Keyless Entry System For Vehicle (US 6,621,406)

Rohri, Access Control Device For A Motor Vehicle And Method... (US 6,556,125)

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edny Labbees whose telephone number is (571) 272-2793. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Hofsass can be reached on (571) 272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,393 Page 8

Art Unit: 2612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Edny Labbees 8/9/2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEF.